# PARAMETRIC EXCITATION OF SPIN WAVES IN FERROMAGNETIC MnCO3

V. V. KVEDER, B. Ya. KOTYUZHANSKIĬ, and L. A. PROZOROVA

Institute of Physics Problems, USSR Academy of Sciences

Submitted June 22, 1972

Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 63, 2205-2213 (December, 1972)

Parametric excitation of spin waves in the low-frequency branch of the spectrum is studied in antiferromagnetic MnCO<sub>3</sub> on parallel orientation of a high-frequency and static magnetic fields. The experiments are carried out at a frequency  $\nu_p = 36$  GHz with prolonged ( $\approx 15$  msec) pulses and at liquid helium temperatures. The appearance and disappearance of absorption in the sample is of discontinuous 'hard'' character. The results of the experiments are consistent with the assumption that a certain relaxation mechanism exists ( $\Delta \nu_1$ ) which switches on at a certain magnon density  $n_{k_1}$ . The dependences of  $\Delta \nu_1^0$  and of stationary relaxation  $\Delta \nu_2$  on temperature and magnetic field strength are studied in detail.

## INTRODUCTION

**P**ARAMETRIC excitation of spin waves in antiferromagnets by parallel pumping was observed in CsMnF<sub>3</sub> and MnCO<sub>3</sub>, which have an anisotropy of the "easyplane" type<sup>[1-4]</sup>, and also on the "easy-axis" CuCl<sub>2</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O in fields H stronger than the flipping field<sup>[5]</sup>.

A theoretical analysis of this  $\operatorname{process}^{[6]}$  led to the following results: There exists a certain threshold value of the microwave field  $h_c$ , above which the density  $n_k$  of spin waves with wave vector k begins to increase, first exponentially, and then assumes a stationary value. The wave vector of the excited spin waves depends on the applied field H and is determined from the dispersion law for the low-frequency branch of the spectrum:

$$(v_{k} / \gamma)^{2} = H(H + H_{D}) + H_{\Delta}^{2} + \alpha_{z}^{2}k_{z}^{2} + \alpha_{\perp}^{2}k_{\perp}^{2},$$

where  $\nu_{\bf k} = \nu_{\rm p}/2$  is the frequency of the excited spin waves,  $\nu_{\rm p}$  is the pump frequency, H<sub>D</sub> is the Dzyaloshinskii field,  $\gamma$  is the gyromagnetic ratio, H<sub>\Delta</sub> is the gap determined by the hyperfine interaction, and  $\alpha_{\rm Z}$  and  $\alpha_{\rm 1}$  are exchange constants.

In the case when  $\nu_p \ll \nu_{20}$ , where  $\nu_{20}$  is the frequency of the high-frequency branch of the antiferromagnetic-resonance (AFMR) spectrum, the expression for the threshold field is

$$h_c = v_p \Delta v_k / \gamma^2 (2H + H_p), \qquad (1)$$

and the magnon density in the exponential-growth section can be represented in the form

$$n_{k} = n_{k0} \exp \{ \Delta v_{k} (h / h_{c} - 1) t \}, \qquad (2)$$

where  $\Delta \nu_{\mathbf{k}}$  is the relaxation frequency of the spin waves of the low-frequency branch of the spectrum with wave vector  $\mathbf{k}$  (to simplify the notation, the subscript k of  $\Delta \nu_{\mathbf{k}}$  will henceforth be omitted),  $n_{\mathbf{k}0}$  is the thermal equilibrium density of the spin waves, h is the microwave field acting on the sample, and t is the time of action of the microwave power.

It follows from (2) that if we neglect the pump instability the spin-wave growth can be made arbitrarily slow by decreasing the excess of power above threshold. Nonetheless, experiment has shown (Fig. 2b of<sup>[4]</sup>) that at any small excess above threshold the spin-wave excitation has a jumplike "hard" character.

The purpose of the present study was to investigate thoroughly the relaxation and kinetics of the onset of spin waves following parametric excitation.

### PROCEDURE

We used in the experiment the setup described in detail  $in^{[4]}$ . The only difference was that the klystron generator was not swept, but operated in the long-pulse regime.

A single-crystal MnCO<sub>3</sub> sample measuring  $2 \times 2 \times 0.3$  mm was secured with BF-6 glue to the bottom of a cylindrical resonator ( $Q \approx 10\ 000$ ) in the antinode of the magnetic field of the H<sub>012</sub> mode. The static magnetic field was produced with a laboratory electromagnet. The two fields H and h were parallel and were in the principal plane of the crystal. The resonator was filled with superfluid helium to keep the sample from overheating. The microwave pulse passing through the resonator was detected with a crystal and fed to an oscilloscope. The pump frequency  $\nu_p$  was  $\sim 36$  GHz. The spin-wave excitation was revealed by the appearance of a distortion in the form of a break. Figure 1 show oscillograms of the initial pulse and of a pulse distorted by beyond-threshold absorption.

In a number of experiments we applied to the resonator microwave pulses with a waveform such that the power decreased smoothly with time (Fig. 2). This made it possible to study the transient process not only at the onset of the parametrically excited spin waves, but also at their vanishing. The variation of the pump frequency during the time of such a pulse can be neglected, since it does not exceed 2 MHz, and it follows from simple calculation that the relative change during the magnon lifetime ~1  $\mu$ sec is <0.2 kHz, i.e.,  $\ll \Delta \nu$ .

The instantaneous value of the microwave power in the resonator was measured by the procedure described  $in^{[4]}$ . The absolute measurement accuracy was  $\approx 20\%$ , whereas the relative change of the power was registered accurate to 5%. The experiments were performed in



Δ

FIG. 1. Oscillograms of rectangular pulse passing through the resonator in the presence of beyond-threshold absorption in the sample:  $a-\tau_1 = 80 \ \mu sec$ ;  $b-\tau_1 = 20 \ \mu sec$ .

FIG. 2. Oscillograms of pulses: a-Pulse passing through resonator in the absence of beyond-threshold absorption in the sample. b-Pulse in the presence of



the temperature interval 1.2-2.4°K. The temperature was determined by measuring the pressure of the saturated helium vapor with a McLeod manometer. The temperature was measured and maintained with accuracy not worse than 0.01°K.

## EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

By applying to the resonator with the sample a rectangular microwave pulse, we observed that when the amplitude of the microwave field exceeded a certain critical value  $h_{C1}$  a break appears on the pulse and corresponds to a sharp increase of the absorption in the sample. It is important to note that the size of the break (the power  $\Delta P_1$  absorbed by the sample does not tend to zero as  $h/h_{C1} \rightarrow 1$ , but to a certain finite value that depends on the static field and on the sample temperature, while the amplitude of the microwave field on the sample falls below the threshold value past the break.

With increasing  $h/h_{cl}$ , the time  $\tau_1$  from the start of the pulse to the break decreases. The results of these experiments, for different static fields and temperatures, show that  $1/\tau_1$  is linearly connected with h (Fig. 3). At the minimum attainable excess  $h/h_c = 1.02$ , determined by the stability of our generator, we obtained  $\tau_1 \approx 0.5$  msec.

For a more detailed study of the onset of absorption in the sample, we perfomed experiments with long ( $\approx$ 15 msec) pulses of special waveform (Fig. 2a). Whenever the maximum value of h exceed h<sub>c1</sub>, the pulse passing through the resonator acquired the form shown in the oscillogram of Fig. 2b. It is seen from the oscillogram that not only the onset but also the vanishing of the absorption occurs jumpwise. The latter occurs at a field h = h<sub>C2</sub> at the sample.

Thus, the investigated phenomenon is characterized by two critical fields  $h_{C1}$  and  $h_{C2}$ . Figure 4 shows plots



such absorption.

FIG. 3. Dependence of the reciprocal of the time  $\tau_1$  to the "break" on the microwave field h at the sample:  $1-T = 1.98^{\circ}$ K, H = 0.39 kOe;  $2-T = 1.20^{\circ}$ K, H = 0.39 kOe;  $3-T = 1.54^{\circ}$ K, H = 0.39 kOe,  $4-T = 1.54^{\circ}$ K, H = 2 kOe;  $5-T = 1.20^{\circ}$ K, H = 2 kOe.

of these fields against the static field H for several temperatures.

 $In^{[3,4]}$  there were observed anomalies in the beyondthreshold susceptibility of MnCO<sub>3</sub> at  $\nu_p = 36.0$  GHz and in fields H<sub>1</sub> = 3.37 kOe and H<sub>2</sub> = 3.7 kOe, the former being apparently due to the presence of impurities in the crystal and the latter to magnon-phonon interaction. The investigations have shown that h<sub>C1</sub> increases during the pulses in the field H<sub>1</sub>, and both fields h<sub>C1</sub> and h<sub>C2</sub> increase in the field H<sub>2</sub>, but the changes are small and lie beyond the accuracy of our experiment.

#### DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

If it is assumed that the number of parametrically excited magnons increases in accordance with formula (2), then the amplitude of the pulse passing through the resonator should decrease monotonically at  $h > h_{C1}$ . By determining the damping  $\Delta \nu$  from the value of the threshold field, we can easily determine the time  $\tau$ 



FIG. 4. Dependence of the critical fields  $h_{c1}$  and  $h_{c2}$  on the static field H.



ń,

ς Ο n<sub>K</sub> n<sub>KZ</sub>

FIG. 5. Proposed dependence of the relaxation  $\Delta \nu$  on the spin-wave

when, at a definite excess above threshold, the number of spin waves increases enough to be able to register absorption in our experiment. It turns out that  $\tau$  for  $h/h_c = 1.02$  should be of the order of 20 msec. As shown by the experiment, the absorption increases in a different manner: the absorption in the system increases sharply at an instant  $\tau_1 \ll \tau$ , that depends on the excess above threshold, while the field at the sample falls below  $h_{C1}$  at  $t > \tau_1$ . This allows us to conclude that the growth of the number of magnons in the investigated antiferromagnet proceeds apparently in two stages: from the start of the pulse to the instant  $au_1$  the number of magnons increases in accord with the exponential law (2), but the energy absorption corresponding to this process cannot be registered in our experiment. This is followed by an avalanche-like growth of the number of magnons and, as follows from experiments on the determination of  $\tau_1$  as a function of the microwave field amplitude (Fig. 3), this avalanche sets in at a definite number of magnons.

The results of experiments with rectangular and non-rectangular pulses can be easily explained by assuming that the spin-wave relaxation consists of two parts:  $\Delta \nu = \Delta \nu_1 + \Delta \nu_2$  where  $\Delta \nu_2$  depends little on the spinwave amplitude, and  $\Delta v_1$  decreases rapidly with increasing  $n_k$ , from an initial value  $\Delta v_1^0$  corresponding to  $n_k = 0$ (Fig. 5).

When a field barely exceeding  $h_{C1}$  is applied to the sample, the number of magnons begins to grow in accord with (2). After a time  $\tau_1$  their number increases



FIG. 6. Schematic representation of the non-rectangular pulse whose oscillogram is shown in Fig. 2b.

to  $n_{k_1}$ , at which  $\Delta v_1$  begins to be turned off. With decreasing  $\Delta v_1$ , the threshold field decreases, causing the rate of growth of the magnon number to increase, causing in turn a further decrease of  $\Delta v_1$ . An avalenche-like growth of  $n_k$  sets in and leads to a complete turning-off of  $\Delta v_1$  within a time 10-20  $\mu$  sec. A stationary magnon density is established in the system and is determined by the excess of the sample field above the critical value  $h_{C3} \sim \Delta \nu_2$ , and the spin system "forgets" the existence of  $\Delta v_1$ . If the microwave-field amplitude is now lowered (Fig. 2b, 6), then the equilibrium number of magnons also begins to decrease, as evidenced by the decrease in the power absorbed by the sample. When the magnon density becomes equal to  $n_{k_2}$  (h = h<sub>C2</sub>), the relaxation  $\Delta v_1$  is turned on. An inverse avalanche is produced, viz., the smaller the number of spin waves the larger  $\Delta v_1$ , and the larger  $\Delta \nu_1$  the faster the spin-wave damping. The relaxation  $\Delta \nu_1$  is completely turned on, and since the field at the sample is now less than  $h_{C1}$ , the parametric excitation ceases and the spin-wave amplitude decreases to the thermal background value. From the data of our experiment we can calculate the relaxation  $\Delta v_1^0$  and  $\Delta v_2$ , and also the magnon densities  $n_{k1}$  and  $n_{k2}$ . Figure 6 shows schematically the pulse whose oscillogram is shown in Fig. 2b. All the experimentally measured quantities needed for the calculation are marked on this figure.

The field  $h_{C3}$  was calculated from the value of the power at the point F, determined by the intersection of the continuation of the line CD with the line EG. In our experiments, the field  $h_{C3}$  differed from  $h_{C2}$  by not more than 10%. The relaxation frequencies  $\Delta v_1^0$ and  $\Delta v_2$  were calculated from  $h_{C3}$  and  $h_{C1}$  using the formulas

$$\Delta v_2 = h_{cs} (2H + H_p) / \gamma^2 v_p,$$
  
$$\Delta v_1^0 = h_{c1} (2H + H_p) / \gamma^2 v_p - \Delta v_2.$$
 (3)

The results of these calculations are shown in Figs. 7 and 8.

The relaxation  $\Delta \nu_1^0$  decreases rapidly with increasing magnetic field, and vanishes at  $H = H_c$ , corresponding to k = 0. In a field  $H_1 = 3.37$  kOe, a resonant increase of this relaxation is observed.  $\Delta v_1^0$  decreases with increasing temperature.

The relaxation  $\Delta v_2$  varies linearly with the square of the magnetic field up to fields of 3.7 kOe, i.e., in this interval we have

$$\Delta v_2 = \Delta v_{20}(T) + \beta(T) H^2, \qquad (4)$$

 $\Delta v_{20}$  depends little on the sample temperature and equals  $\approx 0.1$  MHz.

If we calculate the magnon mean free path  $\lambda$  corresponding to  $\Delta \nu_2 = 0.1$  MHz and H = 0 (k  $\approx 6 \times 10^5$  cm<sup>-1</sup>), then we obtain  $\lambda \approx 1.5$  mm, which coincides approximately with the dimensions of our samples. This means apparently that  $\Delta \nu_{20}$  is determined mainly by the scattering of the magnons by the crystal boundaries, i.e., by the relaxation  $\Delta \nu_{\lim} = L(2\pi d\nu/dk)^{-1}$ . The dependence of  $\Delta \nu_{\lim}$  at L = 2 mm on the magnetic field, which is connected with the change of the magnon velocity, is shown dashed in Fig. 8.

The quantity  $\beta$  obeys the power law  $\beta \sim T^{7.4}$  in the temperature interval  $1.2-2.19^{\circ}$ K. Such a high power can hardly have a physical meaning. It is more likely that  $\beta$  depends on some exponential functions of the temperature.

From the results of our experiments we can calculate the magnon densities  $n_{k_1}$  and  $n_{k_2}$  at which the relaxation  $\Delta \nu_1$  is 'turned on or off''. The value of  $n_{k_1}$  was calculated from formula (2), where  $n_{k_0}$  was taken to be the thermal density

$$h_{k0} = \{\exp[h_V / k_{\rm B}T] - 1\}^{-1}.$$

 $n_{k2}$  can be estimated from the power absorbed by the sample at the instant when the ''turning on'' of the relaxation begins (section DE in Fig. 6). The power absorbed in the sample can be calculated from the formula



FIG. 7. Relaxation  $\Delta \nu_1^0$  vs the magnetic field at various temperatures.



FIG. 8. Dependence of the relaxation  $\Delta \nu_2$  on the square of the magnetic field at different temperatures. Dashed-dependence of  $\Delta \nu_{lim}$  on H<sup>2</sup>.

where

 $\Delta P_2 = \frac{i}{2} h v_p N(k) \cdot 2\pi \Delta v_2,$ 

 $N(k) = n_{k2} 4\pi k^2 V \Delta k / (2\pi)^3$ 

is the number of parametrically excited magnons with wave vector  $\mathbf{k}$ , and  $\mathbf{V}$  is the volume of the sample.

The interval of the excited spin waves  $\Delta k$  was calculated from the condition

$$\left| \frac{1}{2} v_p - v_k \right| < \frac{1}{2} \Delta v_2 \left[ (h / h_{c3})^2 - 1 \right]^{\prime \prime}, \tag{6}$$

by starting from the value of  $\Delta \nu_2$  and the known excess  $h/h_{C3}$  at the instant when the relaxation  $\Delta \nu_1$  is "turned on."

The condition (6) was obtained by a method similar to that used in<sup>[7]</sup> from the known spin-wave dispersion law. The values of  $n_{k_1}$  and  $n_{k_2}$  calculated in this manner are given in the able.

<i>т</i> , °К	k·10 <sup>-s</sup> , cm <sup>-1</sup>	H, kOe	n <sub>k0</sub>	n <sub>k1</sub>	n <sub>k2</sub> · 10-*
$\left. \begin{array}{c} 1.98\\ 1.54\\ 1.2 \end{array} \right\}$	7,37	0,39	1.82 1.35 0.96	150 130 100	$2 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.2$
$\left. \begin{array}{c} 1,99\\ 1,54\\ 1,2 \end{array} \right\}$	6,0	2.0	1.82 1.35 0.96		1.5 1.0 0.7

The existence of a relaxation  $\Delta v_1$  that becomes turned off and leads to a "hard" excitation of spin waves in antiferromagnets can be brought about by several mechanisms.

An analogous phenomenon was observed in yttrium iron garnet by Le Gall et al.<sup>[8]</sup>, who proposed the following explanation: It was assumed that the relaxation was due mainly to a three-magnon process, viz., collision between magnons with  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  produces a magnon with  $k_3 = k_1 + k_2$ ; the relaxation is determined by the densities  $n_{k_2}$  and  $n_{k_3}$ . At a small excess above threshold,  $n_{k_2}$  and  $n_{k_3}$  are equal to their thermal values  $h_{k_{20}}$  and  $n_{k_{30}}$ , which determine the initial relaxation. With increasing  $n_{k_1}$ , the values of  $n_{k_2}$  and  $n_{k_3}$  change in such a way that the relaxation decreases. In our case, apparently, the principal role in the relaxation is played by a three-magnon process<sup>[6]</sup>, and the described mechanism can take place.

It is also possible that the observed behavior of the relaxation is due to scattering of the magnons by the magnetic impurity ions. Favoring this assumption is the fact that  $\Delta \nu_1^0$  increases with decreasing temperature, and indeed a peak is observed on the  $\Delta \nu_1^0(h)$  plot in the field H<sub>1</sub>, a peak interpreted in<sup>[3,4]</sup> as the consequence of a resonant interaction with an impurity mode.

We are deeply grateful to P. L. Kapitza for interest in the work. We sincerely thank A. S. Borovik-Romanov, and V. S. L'vov for a discussion of the results, and V. I. Ozhogin and A. Ya. Yakubovskiĭ for useful discussions.

<sup>1</sup>M. H. Seavey, Phys. Rev. Lett., 23, 132 (1969).

<sup>2</sup>L. A. Prozorova and A. S. Borovik-Romanov, ZhETF Pis. Red. 10, 316 (1969) [JETP Lett. 10, 201 (1969)].

<sup>3</sup>B. Ya. Kotyuzhanskił and L. A. Prozorova, ibid. 13, 430 (1971) [13, 305 (1971)].

<sup>4</sup>B. Ya. Kotyuzhanskiĭ and L. A. Prozorova, Zh.

Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 62, 2199 (1972) [Sov. Phys.-JETP 35, 1050 (1972)].

<sup>5</sup>H. Yamazaky, J. Phys. Soc. Japan, **29**, 1383 (1970). <sup>6</sup>V. I. Ozhogin, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 58, 2079 (1970) [Sov. Phys.-JETP **31**, 1121 (1970)].

<sup>7</sup>L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, Mekhanika

(Mechanics), Nauka 1965, p. 103.[Addison-Wesley, 1969].

<sup>8</sup>H. Le Gall, B. Lemaire, and D. Sere, Solid State Somm., 5, 919 (1967).

Translated by J. G. Adashko 241