

Errata

Vol. 15, no. 6, 1063-1064 (N. G. Basov and A. N. Oraevskiĭ)

Formulas (8), (9), (10), (16), and (17) should read

$$P_{\omega}(t_0) = \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{t_0}^{t_0+\tau} P(t', t_0) e^{i\omega t'} dt'. \quad (8)$$

$$Z_{\omega} E_{\omega} = \alpha_{\omega} E_{\omega} + 4\pi\omega^2 \beta_{\omega} \int_0^{\infty} \rho_{21}^{(0)} e^{i(\omega - \omega_{21})t_0} dt_0, \quad (9)$$

$$\alpha_{\omega} = 8\pi\omega^2 \frac{i}{\hbar} \frac{|\mu_{12}|^2 N}{\tau} [\rho_{22}^{(0)} - \rho_{11}^{(0)}] \frac{e^{i(\omega - \omega_{21})\tau} - i(\omega - \omega_{21})\tau - 1}{(\omega - \omega_{21})^2},$$

$$\beta_{\omega} = 2\mu_{12} \frac{N}{\tau} [e^{i(\omega - \omega_{21})\tau} - 1]/i(\omega - \omega_{21}). \quad (10)$$

$$\rho_{12} = \tilde{\rho}_{12}^0 e^{i(\omega_1 - \omega_{21})(t_1 + \tau)} e^{i\omega_{21}t}, \quad (16)$$

$$R_{\omega} = \langle \tilde{\rho}_{21}^0 e^{i(\omega_1 - \omega_{21})\tau} \beta_{\omega} \rangle, \quad (17)$$

These errors do not affect the main conclusions of the article. The authors thank G. L. Suchkin for pointing out these misprints.

Vol. 19 no. 3 p. 581 (K. Huang and F. E. Low)

In Eq. (21) and the second equation in footnote 2, the comma between x and Φ_{θ} should be omitted. Thus Eq. (21) should read

$$K(x, \theta, g) = F_{\theta}(A), \text{ where } A \equiv x \Phi_{\theta}(g).$$

The second equation in footnote 2 should read

$$K(x, \theta, g, f) = \alpha_{\theta}(g, f) F_{\theta}(A, B),$$

where

$$A \equiv x \Phi_{\theta}(g, f), \quad B \equiv \beta_{\theta}(g, f).$$

Vol. 19, no. 6, p. 1313 (A. M. Prokhorov and V. V. Fedorov)

Right hand column, second formula from top, replace v_Z^* in denominator by v_Z^{*4} .

Vol. 20, no. 1, p. 122 (Poluektov, Presnyakov, and Sobel'man)

An error was made in the approximate calculation of the integral (AI.1) in Appendix I. The points z_n in the vicinity of which the derivative of the argument of the exponential vanishes must be sought prior to approximating the radical in the integrand of (AI.1). As a result, γ in (AI.2) is replaced by 2γ , the parameter $\pi\omega/\gamma v$, in (18), (AI.3), (AI.4), (AI.5), and (AI.6) is replaced by $\pi\omega/2\gamma v$, and ω in (20) and (AII.7) is replaced by $\omega/2$. Elimination of this error improves the agreement between the experimental and theoretical curves in the region of the maximum. The authors thank E. E. Nikitin for noting this error.