⁴W. Lehman and R. E. De Wames, Phys. Rev. Lett 9, 344 (1962).

⁵I. M. Lifshitz, Nuovo cimento **3**, Suppl. No. 4, 716 (1956).

Translated by J. G. Adashko 140

NEW METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF ANISOTROPY RELAXATION TIME AND MODULATION OF LIGHT IN A KERR CELL

I. L. FABELINSKIĬ

P. N. Lebedev Physics Institute, Academy of Sciences, U.S.S.R.

Submitted to JETP editor July 6, 1963

J. Exptl. Theoret. Phys. (U.S.S.R.) 45, 822-824 (September, 1963)

A light beam transmitted through an anisotropic material located in a Kerr cell (condenser, segment of wave guide, resonator) is modulated in frequency and amplitude^[1] if a variable field is applied to the Kerr cell.

The use of the Kerr cell as a light modulator has been considered frequently, [1-5] especially in recent years, as a method of modulating coherent optical radiation. [3,6] In the present note we propose a new method for determining the relaxation time of the anisotropy based on measurements of the intensity of the components of the amplitude-modulation spectrum of the light transmitted through a Kerr cell.

Optimum amplitude modulation of the light is realized when the principal directions of the polarization device form an angle of 90° with each other and an angle of 45° with the electric field (another case of optimum amplitude modulation corresponds to parallel orientation of the principal directions of the polarizers and an angle of 45° with the field direction). The field of a light wave transmitted through the polarizer, Kerr cell, and analyzer is expressed as follows:

$$Y_{a} = Y_{p} \sin \left\{ \frac{\frac{k}{2}}{2} \int_{-\frac{L}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} (n_{p} - n_{s}) dx \right\}$$

$$\times \exp \left\{ i \left[\omega t - \frac{k}{2} \int_{-\frac{L}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} (n_{p} + n_{s}) dx \pm \frac{\pi}{2} \right] \right\}, \qquad (1)$$

$$n_{p} = n + \frac{2}{3} \lambda BE^{2}(t), \qquad n_{s} = n - \frac{1}{3} \lambda BE^{2}(t), \qquad (2)$$

where Y_p is the amplitude of the field leaving the polarizer; k and ω are the wave number and frequency of the light; L is the path length of the light in the electric field; n_p and n_s are the refractive indices for light with electric vector parallel and perpendicular to the electric field E; n is the refractive index in the absence of field; B is the Kerr constant and λ is the wavelength of the light.

If the frequency of the electric field $\Omega \gg 1/\tau$ (τ is the relaxation time of the anisotropy) the molecules cannot follow the field variations and Eq. (1) will not contain frequency-dependent components, but will only exhibit a constant (dc) component; the spectrum of the transmitted light will only contain the frequency of the incident light. However, if $\Omega \tau \ll 1$ the transmitted light will contain all the components of the modulation spectrum with maximum intensity. In the intermediate case $\Omega \tau \sim 1$ the strengths of the amplitude-modulation components will depend on Ω or, for fixed Ω , on τ .

In order to describe the effects quantitatively we assume that $y_i = (n_i - n)$ [where $(n_i - n)$ is either $n_p - n$ or $n_s - n$ at a definite point in the Kerr cell] and is given by the following equation:

$$\frac{dy_i}{dt} + \frac{1}{\tau_i} y_i = \frac{1}{\tau_i} b_i \lambda B E^2(t).$$
(3)

Here, the subscript i = p or s, with

$$b_p = \frac{2}{3}, \qquad b_s = -\frac{1}{3}.$$

If $dy_i/dt = 0$, then $y_i = b_i BE^2(t)$ and we obtain Eq. (2); however, if the field E is switched on and off instantaneously, i.e., if E = 0 in Eq. (3) then $y_i = y_i^0 \exp(-t/\tau)$ and the artificial anisotropy produced by the field decays exponentially.

We assume for simplicity that E(t) does not contain a dc term and is expressed by the harmonic function $E(t) = E_0 \cos \Omega t$. Solving Eq. (3) for this case we have

$$y_{i} = \frac{1}{2} b_{i} B \lambda E_{0}^{2} \{ 1 + [1 + (2\Omega t)^{2} \tau_{i}^{2}]^{-1/2} \cos(2\Omega t + \varphi) \}.$$
 (4)

Here, φ is the phase shift between the electric field and the double refractor with $\tan \varphi = 2\Omega \tau_i$. The usual methods for determining τ_i are essentially different ways of determining φ .

To determine the quantity $\psi = \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} (n_i - n) dx$

we must integrate Eq. (4) in the direction of the light beam within the limits -L/2 and L/2 with the origin of coordinates taken at the center of the element. Account should also be taken of the fact that t = nx/c in Eq. (4) while the phase of the

electric field is $\,\Omega t\,$ at the time the light passes through the origin. In this case

$$\psi = \frac{1}{2} L\lambda B E_0^2 \Big[1 + A_i \frac{\sin KL}{KL} \cos (2\Omega t + \varphi) \Big],$$
$$A_i = [1 + (2\Omega)^2 \tau_i^2]^{-1/2}, \ K = 2\pi/\Lambda.$$
(5)

Choosing the length of the Kerr cell so that L = $\Lambda/4$, where Λ is the wavelength in the medium, we have

$$\Psi = \frac{1}{2} L b_i \lambda B E_0^2 \Big[1 + \frac{2}{\pi} A_i \cos\left(2\Omega t + \varphi\right) \Big].$$
 (6)

Taking account of Eqs. (2) and (6) and substituting the values n_p and n_s in Eq. (1), we have

$$Y_{a} = Y_{p} \frac{1}{2i} \exp\left[i\left(\omega t - \frac{4}{3}a - kLn \pm \frac{\pi}{2}\right)\right] \left\{\exp\left(i2a\right) \\ \times \exp\left[ia\frac{4}{3\pi}A_{i}\cos\left(2\Omega t + \varphi\right)\right] \\ \times \exp\left[ia\frac{8}{3\pi}A_{i}\cos\left(2\Omega t + \varphi\right)\right]\right\},$$
(7)

where $a = \frac{1}{2} \pi LBE_0^2$. Expanding Y_a in Eq. (7) in Bessel functions and computing the intensity of the n-th component at frequency $2n\Omega$ (n is an integer) we have

$$I_{n} = Y_{a}^{*}Y_{a} = \frac{1}{4} I_{p} \left\{ J_{n}^{2} \left(\frac{4}{3\pi} a A_{s} \right) + J_{n}^{2} \left(\frac{8}{3\pi} a A_{p} \right) - 2 \left(-1 \right)^{n} J_{n} \left(\frac{4}{3\pi} a A_{s} \right) J_{n} \left(\frac{8}{3\pi} a A_{p} \right) \cos 2a \right\}.$$
(8)

The quantity A_i varies from zero to unity and at small values of a the ratio of $I_{\pm 1}$, the intensity of the light at frequency $\omega \pm 2\Omega$, to I_0 , the intensity of the light at the fixed frequency, will be zero (assuming that $A_p = A_s$, i.e., that $\tau_p = \tau_s = \tau$)

$$I_{\pm 1}/I_0 = \pi^{-2} \left[1 + 4\Omega^2 \tau^2\right]^{-1}.$$
 (9)

Equation (10) can be used to find τ .

The relaxation time for the anisotropy can also be found from the formulas given above without assuming that $\tau_p = \tau_s = \tau$.

A very clean pattern of discrete splitting of the frequency of light transmitted through a Kerr cell filled with nitrobenzene has been observed at a modulation frequency $\Omega = 2\pi \times 10^7 \text{ cps}^{[4]}$ and light has been modulated at $\Omega \sim 2\pi \times 10^{10} \text{ cps}; [6]$ these results indicate the effectiveness of the method and show that it may be useful in resolving the discrepancy between the measurement of τ in the Kerr effect^[7] and in scattering of light.^[8]

³Holshouser, von Foerster, and Clark, J. Opt. Soc. Am. 51, 1360 (1961).

⁴ Connes, Tuan, and Pinard, J. phys. radium 23, 173 (1962).

⁵ P. G. Tager, Yacheĭka Kerra (The Kerr Cell), Moscow-Leningrad, Iskusstvo, 1937.

⁶ P. S. Pershan and N. Bloembergen, "Microwave Modulation of Light," in Advances in Quantum Electronics, Columbia University Press, New York, 1961.

⁷ I. P. Kaminow, Appl. Phys. Letters 2, 41 (1961). ⁸ W. Hanle and O. Märks, Z. Physik 114, 407 (1939).

⁹I. L. Fabelinskiĭ, Modulated Scattering of Light in Liquids, Trudy, Phys. Inst. Acad. Sci. 9, (1958).

Translated by H. Lashinsky 141

COMPARISON OF THE ABSOLUTE MEASUREMENTS OF THE ENERGY IN A BREMSSTRAHLUNG BEAM PERFORMED IN THE LABORATORIES OF DIFFERENT COUNTRIES

- A. P. KOMAR, S. P. KRUGLOV, and I. V. LOPATIN
 - A. F. Ioffe Physico-technical Institute, Academy of Sciences, U.S.S.R.
 - Submitted to JETP editor July 10, 1963
 - J. Exptl. Theoret. Phys. (U.S.S.R.) 45, 824-825 (September, 1963)

AT present the energy in a bremsstrahlung beam is measured in different laboratories by several types of ionization chambers, which are calibrated by means of a calorimetric or some other absolute method.

In several Soviet Laboratories the measurements are performed by means of a standard chamber developed by the A. F. Ioffe Physico-technical Institute. The sensitivity of this chamber was determined calorimetrically in the energy range $E_{\gamma max} = 10-90 \text{ MeV}.^{[1]}$ In the USA the Cornell thick-walled chamber^[2] and the duraluminum chamber of the National Bureau of Standards are used; the latter has been calibrated by means of a calorimeter in the range $E_{\gamma max} = 7-170 \text{ MeV}.^{[3]}$

The duraluminum chamber is used also in sev-

¹S. M. Rytov, Modulated Oscillations and Waves, Trudy, Phys. Inst. Acad. Sci. 2, 41 (1940).

²Simkin, Naberezhnykh, and Lukin, Izmeritel'naya tekhnika (Measurement Techniques), No. 8, 41 (1960).