

CONCERNING THE GRAVITATIONAL MASSES OF K^0 AND \bar{K}^0 MESONS

É. O. OKONOV, M. I. PODGORETSKIĬ, and O. A. KHRUSTALEV

Joint Institute for Nuclear Research

Submitted to JETP editor January 10, 1961

J. Exptl. Theoret. Phys. (U.S.S.R.) 42, 770-771 (March, 1962)

The possibility of an experimental investigation of the gravitational properties of the \bar{K}^0 meson is discussed.

INTEREST in the question of "antigravity" has recently increased.^[1-5] It is known that the framework of contemporary physics assumes the absence of "antigravity."* At the same time, the fundamental importance of the question compels us to look for an experimental method of verifying this assumption.

In principle, it would be possible to investigate the direction of vertical deflection of horizontal particle and antiparticle beams. If, for example, we have a horizontal beam of K_2^0 particles then if the gravitational mass of the \bar{K}^0 is negative the initial beam separates into two beams, with the K^0 particles deflected downward and the \bar{K}^0 upward. In practice, of course, this experiment is not feasible inasmuch as one is talking about macroscopic deflections. It is possible, however, to change the experiment in such a way that it may be possible to notice a deflection of the order of a de Broglie wavelength.

For this purpose we consider a vertical beam of K_2^0 mesons, assuming that the gravitation mass of the \bar{K}^0 is negative. Upon passing through a height difference H , the difference in the K^0 and \bar{K}^0 energies becomes equal to $2mgH$, causing a phase shift between the respective wave functions ψ and $\bar{\psi}$ and leading ultimately to the transformation of the K_2^0 particles into K_1^0 particles with subsequent decay into $2\pi^{**}$.†

To estimate the possible effect, we start from the equations that describe the behavior of the K_1^0 and K_2^0 particles, taking attenuation into account:

*Otherwise it is easy to arrive at either a violation of the energy conservation law or else the necessity of introducing an absolute potential.^[6] It is possible to bypass these difficulties by assuming that the gravitational mass is conserved in processes involving the creation and annihilation of pairs of particles and antiparticles.

†If an absolute potential is introduced into the discussion, an analogous process can also occur for a horizontal K_2^0 beam.^[6] The authors thank M. Good for sending a copy of his article before publication.

$$\begin{aligned} d\psi_1/dt &= im_1\psi_1 - \delta\psi_2 - \lambda_1\psi_1/2, \\ d\psi_2/dt &= im_2\psi_2 + \delta\psi_1 - \lambda_2\psi_2/2, \end{aligned}$$

where m_1, λ_1 and m_2, λ_2 are the masses and decay constants of K_1^0 and K_2^0 mesons, and $\delta = mgvt/\sqrt{1-\beta^2}$ (v is the velocity of the K_2^0 particle). Neglecting the time derivative of δ and assuming $\delta/\lambda_1 \ll 1$, the solution of these equations gives the following formula for the number of K_2^0 particles at a height H

$$N(H) = N(0) \exp \left[-\lambda_2 \frac{H}{v} - \frac{4}{3} \lambda_1 \frac{\delta^2}{\lambda_1^2 + 4(m_1 - m_2)^2} \left(\frac{H}{v} \right)^3 \right].$$

For a velocity $v \sim 2c/3$, the K_2^0 particle beam decreases to $1/e$ times its original value at a height $H \approx 9$ m.

The ratio of the number of two-pion decays to the number of three-particle decays at a height H (neglecting the little-likely decay of the K_1^0 meson into three particles) equals

$$\begin{aligned} n(K_1^0)/n(K_2^0) &= 4\delta^2(H/v)^2 \lambda_1/\lambda_2 [\lambda_1^2 + 4(m_1 - m_2)^2], \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

which leads, for $\lambda_1 \sim 10^{10} \text{ sec}^{-1}$ and $\lambda_2 \sim 1.7 \times 10^7 \text{ sec}^{-1}$, to the value

$$n(K_1^0)/n(K_2^0) \sim 5 \cdot 10^{-6} H^2.$$

It is not difficult to see that Eq. (2) is correct both for a vertical beam and for an inclined beam with vertical component equal to H . It is necessary to emphasize that at present we cannot offer a closed system in which antigravitation and the conservation of gravitational mass could coexist with the interference properties of the K^0 mesons. By the same token, we cannot prove on the basis of logical arguments alone that such a coexistence is impossible. Therefore it seems to us that a logical analysis of the situation would be usefully supplemented by the actual performance of the experiment discussed above.

It is obvious that a difference in the inertial masses of the K^0 and \bar{K}^0 particles

$[M(K^0) - M(\bar{K}^0)]$ also leads to the appearance of K_1^0 decays in a beam of K_2^0 mesons. In this case the ratio of both types of decays will be

$$n(K_1^0)/n(K_2^0) = (\lambda_1/\lambda_2)(M(K^0) - M(\bar{K}^0))^2 / [\lambda_1^2 + 4(m_1 - m_2)^2].$$

Investigations of the decay properties of K_2^0 mesons have shown^[7,8] that $n(K_1^0)/n(K_2^0) \lesssim 1/400$. Assuming $|m_1 - m_2| \sim \lambda_1$, we obtain $|M(K^0) - M(\bar{K}^0)|/M \leq 10^{-17}$.

A more detailed account of the questions which have been considered is contained in^[9,10].

The authors are happy to thank D. I. Blokhintsev, V. I. Veksler, V. A. Nikitin, V. I. Ogievetskiĭ, L. B. Okun', B. M. Pontecorvo, Ya. A. Smorodinskiĭ, and I. E. Tamm for participating in discussions and for important critical remarks. One of the authors (É. O.) wishes to thank coworkers H. Oiglane and A. Sapara of the Estonian SSR Institute of Physics and Astronomy for a general discussion of the problems of "antigravity."

¹P. Morrison, Am. J. Phys. **26**, 358 (1958).

²L. I. Schiff, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. **45**, 69 (1959).

³E. Segré, Antinucleons, University of California Radiation Laboratory Report.

⁴Aleksandrov, Nikitin, and Bondarenko, JETP **35**, 1305 (1958), Soviet Phys. JETP **8**, 911 (1959).

⁵B. I. Blokhintsev, Tezisy i programma 1-ĭ Sovetskoiĭ gravitatsionnoi konferentsii (Abstracts and Program of the First Soviet Conference on Gravitation), M. 1961.

⁶M. L. Good, Phys. Rev. **121**, 311 (1961).

⁷Bardon, Fuchs, Lande, Lederman, Chinowsky, and Tinlot, Phys. Rev. **110**, 780L (1958).

⁸Neagu, Okonov, Petrov, Rosanova, and Rusakov, Proceedings of the 1960 Annual International Conference on High Energy Physics at Rochester (Interscience Publishers, New York, 1960), p. 603.

⁹Okonov, Podgoretskiĭ, and Khrustalev, Preprint D-647, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research.

¹⁰Okonov, Podgoretskiĭ, and Khrustalev, op. cit. in^[5].

Translated by H. H. Nickle