

POLARIZATION EFFECTS IN  $\Sigma^-$ -HYPERON CAPTURE BY DEUTERONS

S. G. MATINYAN and O. D. CHEISHVILI

Physics Institute, Academy of Sciences, Georgian S.S.R.

Submitted to JETP editor July 2, 1958

J. Exptl. Theoret. Phys. (U.S.S.R.) 36, 212-215 (January, 1959)

A phenomenological treatment is given of  $\Sigma^-$ -hyperon capture by deuterons with formation of  $\Lambda^0$ -particles. A study of the polarization correlation of the strange particles yields information on the polarization of the  $\Sigma^-$ -hyperon.

A phenomenological study of  $\Sigma^-$ -hyperon capture by protons was recently given by Pais and Treiman.<sup>1</sup> The process is of interest for determination of the relative parity of  $\Lambda$  and  $\Sigma$  particles and for determination of the degree of polarization of the  $\Sigma$  particle using the decay process as analyzer. (As is well known, experiments on the "up-down" asymmetry coefficient in the associated production process give drastically different results for  $\Lambda$  and  $\Sigma$  particles which makes a determination of  $\Sigma$  polarization important.)

In this note we consider the capture of a polarized  $\Sigma^-$  hyperon by a deuteron

$$\Sigma^- + d \rightarrow 2n + \Lambda^0. \tag{1}$$

We assume that the spin of  $\Lambda$  and  $\Sigma$  particles is  $1/2$ . A quantitative study of this process indicates that it is of definite interest as a source of additional information on the question of the degree of polarization of the  $\Sigma^-$  particle.

We investigated the capture process in the impulse approximation (cf., e.g., references 2 and 3). In this approximation the amplitude for the process has the form<sup>4</sup>

$$T_d = J_{12}T(1, 2) + J_{13}T(1, 3), \tag{2}$$

where the index 1 refers to the strange particles, and 2 and 3 refer to the nucleons composing the deuteron;

$$J_{1l} = \int \Psi_f^+(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{r}_3) \Psi_i(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{r}_3) \delta(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_l) d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 d\mathbf{r}_3$$

$$(l = 2, 3),$$

$\Psi_i$  and  $\Psi_f$  are the initial and final wave functions of the three particle system; and  $T$  is the amplitude introduced by Pais and Treiman, for  $\Sigma^-$ -hyperon capture by a proton.

Proceeding in a manner analogous to that of reference 4 we find the following formula for the polarization of the  $\Lambda$  particle produced in the capture of a polarized  $\Sigma^-$  hyperon by a deuteron:

$$R_d \mathbf{p}_\Lambda = \frac{1}{3} \{ |F^-|^2 \text{Sp} [\Pi_t(2, 3) T(1, 2) \rho_\Sigma \Pi_t(2, 3) T^+(1, 2) \sigma_1] + |F^+|^2 \text{Sp} [\Pi_s(2, 3) T(1, 2) \rho_\Sigma \Pi_t(2, 3) T^+(1, 2) \sigma_1] \}. \tag{3}$$

Here the trace is over the spin variables of all three particles,  $\rho_\Sigma = [1 + \mathbf{p}_\Sigma \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_1]/2$  is the spin density matrix of  $\Sigma^-$  particles of polarization  $\mathbf{p}_\Sigma$ ,

$$\Pi_t(2, 3) = \frac{1}{4} [3 + \sigma_2 \cdot \sigma_3], \quad \Pi_s(2, 3) = \frac{1}{4} [1 - \sigma_2 \cdot \sigma_3],$$

$$F^\pm = \int \Phi_g^{\pm*} \Phi_d e^{i\mathbf{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} d\boldsymbol{\rho},$$

$\Phi_g$  is the wave function of two neutrons with relative momentum  $\mathbf{g}$ ,  $\Phi_d$  is the deuteron wave function,  $2\boldsymbol{\kappa}$  is the momentum transferred to the nucleons;

$$R_d = \frac{1}{3} \{ |F^-|^2 \text{Sp} [\Pi_t(2, 3) T(1, 2) \rho_\Sigma \Pi_t(2, 3) T^+(1, 2)] + |F^+|^2 \text{Sp} [\Pi_s(2, 3) T(1, 2) \rho_\Sigma \Pi_t(2, 3) T^+(1, 2)] \}. \tag{4}$$

As in reference 1, it is easy to obtain the following relation between  $\mathbf{p}_\Lambda$  and  $\mathbf{p}_\Sigma$ :

$$R_d \mathbf{p}_\Lambda = \alpha \mathbf{p}_\Sigma + \beta (\mathbf{p}_\Sigma \cdot \mathbf{N}) \mathbf{N}, \tag{5}$$

where  $\mathbf{N}$  is a unit vector in the direction of motion of the  $\Lambda$  particle.

The values of  $R_d$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are determined by the type of transition from the initial to the final state and depend on the dynamics of the  $\Sigma^-$ -proton interaction leading to capture. We shall consider the various transition types separately.

1. S  $\rightarrow$  S Transition

In this case the amplitude for  $\Sigma^-$  capture by a proton has the form

$$T = a_1 \Pi_t(1, 2) + a_2 \Pi_s(1, 2), \tag{6}$$

where  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  are the amplitudes for the transitions  $^3S_1 \rightarrow ^3S_1$  and  $^1S_0 \rightarrow ^1S_0$  of the strange particle-nucleon system respectively (we use

standard spectroscopic notation).

$R_d$ ,  $\alpha$ , and  $\beta$  are given by the following expressions:

$$R_d = \frac{1}{16} \{ (11|a_1|^2 + 3|a_2|^2 + 2\text{Re } a_1 a_2^*) |F^-|^2 + (|a_1|^2 + |a_2|^2 - 2\text{Re } a_1 a_2^*) |F^+|^2 \}, \quad (7a)$$

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{48} \{ (25|a_1|^2 + |a_2|^2 + 22\text{Re } a_1 a_2^*) |F^-|^2 - (|a_1|^2 + |a_2|^2 - 2\text{Re } a_1 a_2^*) |F^+|^2 \}, \quad (7b)$$

$$\beta = 0. \quad (7c)$$

For  $\kappa \approx 0$  (i.e., for small momentum transfer to the nucleons) one has  $F^- \approx 0$  and  $\mathbf{p}_\Lambda = -\mathbf{p}_\Sigma/3$ , independent of any assumption about the coherence or incoherence of the states with  $a_1$  and  $a_2$ .

Consequently, in this case it is possible to obtain definite information about the  $\Sigma^-$  polarization by studying the asymmetry in the  $\Lambda$ -decay for both the instance where the  $\Sigma^-$  was captured from the continuum and where it came from an S-orbit.

In the case of capture by protons the inequality  $\mathbf{p}_\Lambda \leq \frac{2}{3}\mathbf{p}_\Sigma$  is obtained only for transitions from S-orbits.<sup>1</sup>

## 2. S $\rightarrow$ P Transitions

$$T = (3/2)^{1/2} b_1 \mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{S} + \sqrt{3} b_2 \mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{S}' \Pi_t + b_3 \mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{S}' \Pi_s,$$

where

$$\mathbf{S} = (\sigma_1 + \sigma_2)/2, \quad \mathbf{S}' = (\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)/2;$$

$b_1$ ,  $b_2$  and  $b_3$  are the amplitudes for the transitions  ${}^3S_1 \rightarrow {}^3P_1$ ,  ${}^3S_1 \rightarrow {}^1P_1$  and  ${}^1S_0 \rightarrow {}^3P_0$  respectively.

$$R_d = \frac{1}{8} \left\{ \left( 5|b_1|^2 + \frac{9}{2}|b_2|^2 + \frac{3}{2}|b_3|^2 + \sqrt{2}\text{Re } b_1 b_2^* + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\text{Re } b_1 b_3^* + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\text{Re } b_2 b_3^* \right) |F^-|^2 + \left( |b_1|^2 + \frac{3}{2}|b_2|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|b_3|^2 - \sqrt{2}\text{Re } b_1 b_2^* - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\text{Re } b_1 b_3^* - \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}\text{Re } b_2 b_3^* \right) |F^+|^2 \right\}, \quad (8a)$$

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{8} \left\{ - \left( |b_1|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|b_2|^2 + \frac{1}{6}|b_3|^2 + 5\sqrt{2}\text{Re } b_1 b_2^* + 5\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\text{Re } b_1 b_3^* + \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}\text{Re } b_2 b_3^* \right) |F^-|^2 + \left( |b_1|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|b_2|^2 + \frac{1}{6}|b_3|^2 - \sqrt{2}\text{Re } b_1 b_2^* - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\text{Re } b_1 b_3^* + \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}\text{Re } b_2 b_3^* \right) |F^+|^2 \right\}, \quad (8b)$$

$$\beta = \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \left( 3|b_1|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|b_2|^2 + \frac{1}{6}|b_3|^2 + 3\sqrt{2}\text{Re } b_1 b_2^* + \sqrt{6}\text{Re } b_1 b_3^* + \frac{5}{\sqrt{3}}\text{Re } b_2 b_3^* \right) |F^-|^2 + \left( -\frac{1}{2}|b_2|^2 - \frac{1}{6}|b_3|^2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\text{Re } b_2 b_3^* \right) |F^+|^2 \right\}. \quad (8c)$$

Let us again consider the case  $\kappa \approx 0$  (experimentally this corresponds to the observation of a  $\Lambda$  particle with energy larger than a given  $E_0$ ).

If the  $\Sigma^-$  particle is captured from a discrete level the interference terms  $b_1 b_2^*$  and  $b_2 b_3^*$  vanish.<sup>1</sup> In addition, if the amplitude  $b_1$  of the transition  ${}^3S_1 \rightarrow {}^3P_1$  dominates the others the simple expression  $\mathbf{p}_\Lambda \approx \mathbf{p}_\Sigma$  results. In the case when the amplitude  $b_2$  or  $b_3$  (or both)

$$\mathbf{p}_\Lambda = \frac{1}{3}\mathbf{p}_\Sigma - \frac{2}{3}(\mathbf{p}_\Sigma \cdot \mathbf{N})\mathbf{N}$$

is obtained.

## 3. P $\rightarrow$ S Transition

In this case the amplitude  $T$  has the same form as for S  $\rightarrow$  P transitions with the unit vector  $\mathbf{N}$  replaced by the unit vector  $\mathbf{n}$  in the direction of the relative momentum of the  $(\Sigma^- - p)$  system. In the final expressions for  $R_d$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  an average over  $\mathbf{n}$  was performed.

$$R_d = \frac{1}{8} \left\{ \left( 5|c_1|^2 + \frac{9}{2}|c_2|^2 + \frac{3}{2}|c_3|^2 + \sqrt{2}\text{Re } c_1 c_2^* + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\text{Re } c_1 c_3^* + \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}\text{Re } c_2 c_3^* \right) |F^-|^2 + \left( |c_1|^2 + \frac{3}{2}|c_2|^2 + \frac{1}{3}|c_3|^2 - \sqrt{2}\text{Re } c_1 c_2^* - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\text{Re } c_1 c_3^* - \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}\text{Re } c_2 c_3^* \right) |F^+|^2 \right\}, \quad (9a)$$

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{8} \left\{ \left( |c_1|^2 - \frac{1}{6}|c_2|^2 - \frac{1}{18}|c_3|^2 - 3\sqrt{2}\text{Re } c_1 c_2^* - \sqrt{6}\text{Re } c_1 c_3^* + \frac{7}{9}\sqrt{3}\text{Re } c_2 c_3^* \right) |F^-|^2 + \left( |c_1|^2 + \frac{1}{6}|c_2|^2 + \frac{1}{18}|c_3|^2 - \sqrt{2}\text{Re } c_1 c_2^* - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\text{Re } c_1 c_3^* + \frac{5}{9}\sqrt{3}\text{Re } c_2 c_3^* \right) |F^+|^2 \right\}, \quad (9b)$$

$$\beta = 0. \quad (9c)$$

Here  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$ ,  $c_3$  are transition amplitudes for  ${}^3P_1 \rightarrow {}^3S_1$ ,  ${}^1P_1 \rightarrow {}^3S_1$  and  ${}^3P_0 \rightarrow {}^1S_0$  respectively of the  $(\Sigma^- - p)$  system.

Considering again the case  $\kappa \approx 0$  and taking into account the fact that all three amplitudes  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$ , and  $c_3$  are incoherent (i.e., all interference

terms vanish), we obtain the inequality

$${}^{1/9}\mathbf{p}_\Sigma \leq \mathbf{p}_\Lambda \leq \mathbf{p}_\Sigma.$$

The limiting value  $\mathbf{p}_\Lambda \approx \mathbf{p}_\Sigma$  occurs when the amplitude  $c_1$  dominates the others; the other limiting value  $\mathbf{p}_\Lambda \approx {}^{1/9}\mathbf{p}_\Sigma$  is obtained when one of the amplitudes  $c_2, c_3$  is dominant.

As was to be expected, these results could be obtained by averaging the equalities found in section 2.

#### 4. $P \rightarrow P$ Transitions\*

$$\begin{aligned} T = & ({}^{3/4})^{1/2} \left\{ \frac{1}{3} d_1 [4\mathbf{N}\cdot\mathbf{n}]I_t - 3i\mathbf{S}\cdot[\mathbf{N}\times\mathbf{n}] - (\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{S})(\mathbf{N}\cdot\mathbf{S}) \right] \\ & + d_2 [i\mathbf{S}\cdot[\mathbf{N}\times\mathbf{n}] + (\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{S})(\mathbf{N}\cdot\mathbf{S})] + \sqrt{2}i\mathbf{S}'\cdot[\mathbf{N}\cdot\mathbf{n}](d_3\Pi_t + d_4\Pi_s) \\ & + 2d_5(\mathbf{N}\cdot\mathbf{n})\Pi_s + \frac{2}{3}d_6 [(\mathbf{N}\cdot\mathbf{n})\Pi_t - (\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{S})(\mathbf{N}\cdot\mathbf{S})] \left. \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The amplitudes  $d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4, d_5$  and  $d_6$  refer to the transitions  ${}^3P_2 \rightarrow {}^3P_2, {}^3P_1 \rightarrow {}^3P_1, {}^3P_1 \rightarrow {}^1P_1, {}^1P_1 \rightarrow {}^3P_1, {}^1P_1 \rightarrow {}^1P_1$  and  ${}^3P_0 \rightarrow {}^3P_0$  respectively of the  $(\Sigma^- - p)$  system.

If the conditions for incoherence are satisfied we obtain (after averaging over  $\mathbf{n}$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} R_d = & \frac{1}{16} \left\{ \left( \frac{35}{6} |d_1|^2 + \frac{19}{6} |d_2|^2 + 3(|d_3|^2 + |d_4|^2 + |d_5|^2) \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + |d_6|^2 + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} \operatorname{Re} d_2 d_3^* \right) |F^-|^2 \right. \\ & \left. + \left( \frac{5}{6} |d_1|^2 + \frac{5}{6} |d_2|^2 + |d_3|^2 + |d_4|^2 + |d_5|^2 \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \frac{1}{3} |d_6|^2 - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} \operatorname{Re} d_2 d_3^* \right) |F^+|^2 \right\}, \quad (10a) \end{aligned}$$

\*There are a number of misprints in reference 1. This is true in particular of formulas (7) and (9) and of the form of the  $P \rightarrow P$  amplitude.

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha = & \frac{1}{48} \left\{ \left( \frac{97}{9} |d_1|^2 + |d_2|^2 + |d_5|^2 + \frac{1}{9} |d_6|^2 \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. - 4\sqrt{2} \operatorname{Re} d_2 d_3^* \right) |F^-|^2 + \left( \frac{11}{9} |d_1|^2 - |d_2|^2 - |d_5|^2 - \frac{1}{9} |d_6|^2 \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. - 2\sqrt{2} \operatorname{Re} d_2 d_3^* \right) |F^+|^2 \right\}, \quad (10b) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \beta = & \frac{1}{24} \left\{ \left( -\frac{97}{36} |d_1|^2 + \frac{7}{4} |d_2|^2 - \frac{1}{2} |d_3|^2 \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. - \frac{1}{2} |d_4|^2 - \frac{1}{9} |d_6|^2 + \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}} \operatorname{Re} d_2 d_3^* \right) |F^-|^2 \right. \\ & \left. + \left( -\frac{11}{36} |d_1|^2 + \frac{5}{4} |d_2|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |d_3|^2 \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \frac{1}{2} |d_4|^2 + \frac{1}{9} |d_6|^2 + \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}} \operatorname{Re} d_2 d_3^* \right) |F^+|^2 \right\}. \quad (10c) \end{aligned}$$

In conclusion the authors thank Prof. G. R. Khutsishvili for useful discussions and advice.

Note added in proof (November 24, 1958). The amplitudes of Pais and Treiman<sup>1</sup> characterize the transition of the strange particle-nucleon system. On the other hand in the deuteron case it is necessary to consider transitions of the system strange particle - two nucleons. However it is clear that for  $\kappa \approx 0$  the two definitions coincide.

<sup>1</sup>A. Pais and S. B. Treiman, Phys. Rev. **109**, 1759 (1958).

<sup>2</sup>G. F. Chew, Phys. Rev. **80**, 196 (1950).

<sup>3</sup>I. Ya. Pomeranchuk, J. Exptl. Theoret. Phys. (U.S.S.R.) **21**, 1113 (1951).

<sup>4</sup>S. G. Matinyan and O. D. Cheishvili, Сообщ. АН ГрyзССР (Reports, Acad. Sci., Georgian S.S.R.) (in press).

Translated by A. Bincer