

is the other linearly independent solution of the hypergeometric equation; $a = \beta\Omega_\ell/4$.

The normalization constants C_ℓ may be obtained by comparing the asymptotic form of $n_\ell(x)$ for large x with the results of a calculation in the Fermi Age approximation. Thus, neglecting absorption for the sake of simplicity, one has for the case of a unit intensity source of neutrons at the point $r = r_0$ in the age approximation, the following

$$\psi_{Age}(r, x) = \frac{\lambda M}{2} \sqrt{\frac{m}{2kT}} \cdot \frac{x^*}{x^4} \sum_l R_l(r) \left(\frac{x}{x_0}\right)^{\beta\Omega_l/2}, \quad (6)$$

where x_0 is the source neutrons speed. Hence

$$\psi(r, x) = \frac{\lambda M}{2} \sqrt{\frac{m}{2kT}} \sum_l R_l(r_0) R_l(r) x_0^{-\beta\Omega_l/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{\beta\Omega_l}{4}\right) \Phi\left(\frac{\beta\Omega_l}{4}, 2, x^2\right) \quad (7)$$

In the case of a source located in an infinite homogeneous medium the sum over ℓ must be replaced by the corresponding integral.

A detailed discussion of applications of the above results to various special cases will be published later.

In conclusion I express deep gratitude to F. L. Shapiro for valuable discussions in the process of this work.

¹E. R. Cohen, Geneva Conference Paper 611, 1955.

²Hurwitz Jr., Nelkin, and Habetler, Nuclear Sci. and Eng. 1, 280 (1956).

³E. R. Cohen, Nuclear Sci. and Eng. 2, 227 (1957).

Translated by A. Bincer

317

INFLUENCE OF FINITE NUCLEAR SIZE ON EFFECTS CONNECTED WITH PARITY NONCONSERVATION IN BETA DECAY

B. V. GESHKENBEIN

Submitted to JETP editor September 30, 1957

J. Exptl. Theoret. Phys. (U.S.S.R.) 33, 1535-1536 (December, 1957)

FOR β -decay, particularly for forbidden transitions, the action of the nuclear field is of importance. As in Ref. 1, we take for the electron wave function

$$\psi_e = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_e \\ \chi_e \end{pmatrix}, \quad \varphi_e = [\alpha_0 + ipr\alpha_1 + i(\sigma r)(\sigma n)\beta_c] u_\xi, \quad (1)$$

where

$$n = \frac{p}{p}, \quad \alpha_0 = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2p\varepsilon}} ie^{-i\delta_{-1}} g_{-1}, \quad \beta_0 = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2p\varepsilon}} f_1 e^{-i\delta_1}, \quad \alpha_1 = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2p\varepsilon}} \frac{3}{pr} e^{-i\delta_{-1}} g_{-2}, \quad \beta_1 = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2p\varepsilon}} \frac{3}{ipr} e^{-i\delta_1} f_2,$$

$$\beta_c = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2p\varepsilon}} \frac{1}{r} (e^{-i\delta_1} g_1 - e^{-i\delta_{-1}} g_{-2}), \quad \alpha_c = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2p\varepsilon}} \frac{1}{ir} (e^{-i\delta_{-1}} f_{-1} - e^{-i\delta_1} f_2)$$

($m_e = c = \hbar = 1$), g_K , f_K are the inside-the-nucleus solutions of the radial Dirac equation joined with the outside solutions at $r = r_0$; δ_K is the phase.² We write the β -interaction Hamiltonian as follows

$$H = \sum_i \left\{ g_i (\bar{\psi}_2 O_i \psi_1) (\bar{\psi}_e O_i \frac{1-\gamma_5}{2} \psi_e) + g'_i (\bar{\psi}_2 O_i \psi_1) (\bar{\psi}_e O_i \frac{1+\gamma_5}{2} \psi_e) \right\} \quad (2)$$

(summation over $i = S, T, V, A, P$). If the two-component neutrino theory³⁻⁵ holds, then emission of an antineutrino together with an electron corresponds to $g'_i = 0$, whereas emission of a neutrino corresponds to $g_i = 0$.

The results for allowed and first forbidden β -transitions are expressed in terms of the known tabulated functions $L_0, M_0, N_0, P_0, Q_0, R_0, L_1, P_1$.⁶⁻⁸

Taking finite nuclear size into account has in practice no effect on allowed and unique $\Delta j = 2$ (yes) transitions since these depend on L_0, P_0 and L_0, P_0, L_1, P_1 respectively, for which finite nuclear size is unimportant.⁹ For the Coulomb transitions M_0 and Q_0 are relevant; these are significantly affected by finiteness of nuclear size, but since they are both multiplied by the same factor they will give no effect in practice. Thus nuclear size will affect only $0 \rightarrow 0$ (yes) transitions provided the pseudoscalar covariant is present in β -decay.

If one assumes that the axial covariant is absent, then the longitudinal polarization of electrons and the electron-neutrino angular correlation are given by

$$\langle \sigma n \rangle = (A_{av} - A_v) / (C_{av} + C_v), \quad W_{ev}(\theta) = 1 + \langle \sigma n \rangle \cos \theta, \quad (3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_{av} = & -|g_T|^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{9} q^2 \sqrt{L_0^2 - P_0^2} + \sqrt{M_0^2 - Q_0^2} - \frac{1}{3} q (\sqrt{(L_0 + P_0)(M_0 + Q_0)} + \sqrt{(L_0 - P_0)(M_0 - Q_0)} \right. \\ & - (\sqrt{(L_0 + P_0)(M_0 + Q_0)} + \sqrt{(L_0 - P_0)(M_0 - Q_0)} - \frac{2}{3} q \sqrt{(L_0^2 - P_0^2)} \operatorname{Re} \lambda_P + (\sqrt{(L_0 + P_0)(M_0 + Q_0)} \right. \\ & \left. \left. - \sqrt{(L_0 - P_0)(M_0 - Q_0)}) \cot(\delta_{-1} - \delta_1) \operatorname{Im} \lambda_P + \sqrt{L_0^2 - P_0^2} |\lambda_P|^2 \right\} \sin(\delta_{-1} - \delta_1); \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

$$C_{av} = \left\{ \frac{1}{9} L_0 q^2 + M_0 + \frac{2}{3} q N_0 + 2 \left(N_0 + \frac{1}{3} L_0 q \right) \operatorname{Re} \lambda_P + L_0 |\lambda_P|^2 \right\} |g_T|^2 \quad \lambda_P = -ig_P \int \gamma_5 / g_T \int \sigma r.$$

A_ν and C_ν follow from (4) upon replacement of g_P by g'_P and g_T by g'_T .

For $Z e^2 \ll 1$, finite nuclear size effects are unimportant and all quantities can be written explicitly.⁸ Since expressions for Q_0 and P_0 as well as for $\sin(\delta_{-1} - \delta_1)$ are not available, we give them here:

$$P_0 = 1/\epsilon, \quad Q_0 = p v / 9 - Z^2 e^4 / 4 \epsilon r_0^2, \quad \sin(\delta_{-1} - \delta_1) = (1 + Z^2 e^4 p^{-2})^{-1/2}. \quad (5)$$

If the pseudoscalar covariant is absent, the two-component neutrino theory holds, and λ_P is real, then the polarization differs insignificantly ($\sim 3\%$) from v/c . In the presence of the pseudoscalar covariant the polarization can differ significantly from v/c only in a relatively narrow range of values of λ_P . For example, for Pr^{144} the polarization differs from v/c for $\lambda_P \sim 10 \pm 1$ and may even change sign. If the two-component neutrino theory does not hold then the polarization obviously can take on any value.

In conclusion I wish to thank V. B. Berestetskii, B. L. Ioffe and K. A. Ter-Martirosian for their suggestion of this problem and discussions.

¹ Berestetskii, Ioffe, Rudik, and Ter-Martirosian, Nuclear Physics (in press).

² L. A. Sliv and B. A. Volchok, Таблицы кулоновских фаз и амплитуд с учетом конечных размеров ядра (Tables of Coulomb Phases and Amplitudes, Taking into Account The Finite Nuclear Size), Acad. Sci. Press, 1956.

³ L. D. Landau, J. Exptl. Theoret. Phys. (U.S.S.R.) 32, 405 (1957), Soviet Phys. JETP 5, 336 (1957).

⁴ T. D. Lee and C. N. Yang, Phys. Rev. 105, 1671 (1957).

⁵ A. Salam, Nuovo cimento 5, 299 (1957).

⁶ E. J. Konopinski and G. E. Uhlenbeck, Phys. Rev. 60, 308 (1941).

⁷ L. N. Zyrianova, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Fiz. 20, 1399 (1956).

⁸ B. S. Dzhelepov and L. N. Zyrianova, Влияние электрического поля атома на бета-распад (Influence of The Atomic Field on Beta-Decay), Acad. Sci. Press, 1956.

⁹ M. E. Rose and D. K. Holmes, Phys. Rev. 83, 190 (1951).

Translated by A. Bincer