

$$\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{incid}} \sim \frac{i}{k} \delta\left(1 + \frac{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{r}}{kr}\right) e^{-i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{r}/r} - \frac{i}{k} \delta\left(1 - \frac{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{r}}{kr}\right) e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{r}/r}$$

and the completeness of system of spin functions, one can readily obtain from (3) the sought integral relation for the scattering matrix

$$2\pi [M(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') - M^+(\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{k})] \\ = ik \int M^+(\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{k}'') M(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'') d\omega(\mathbf{k}''), \quad (4)$$

From (4) there immediately follow the integral relations for the coefficients of expansion of $M(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}')$ in terms of the invariant spin matrices given in Ref. 2 for nucleon-nucleon scattering and applied therein to the analysis of the full set of trials aimed at re-establishment of the scattering matrix.

If with $\mathbf{k}' = \mathbf{k}$ we utilize the expansion of $M(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}) = \sum_{\mu} \alpha_{\mu} S_{\mu}$ in the orthogonal and normalized Hermitian operators S_{μ} [the operators are orthogonal and normalized if $\text{Sp } S_{\mu} S_{\nu} = (2s_1 + 1)(2s_2 + 1) \delta_{\mu\nu}$], then from (4) we can obtain the following relation

$$4\pi \text{Im } \alpha_{\nu} (2s_1 + 1)(2s_2 + 1) = 4\pi \text{Im } \text{Sp } S_{\nu} M(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}) \\ = k \int \text{Sp } S_{\nu} M^+(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'') M(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'') d\omega(\mathbf{k}''). \quad (5)$$

In particular, assuming S_{ν} to be unity, we obtain the extension of the optical theory to the case of particles with spins

$$4\pi \text{Im } \text{Sp } M(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}) = k(2s_1 + 1)(2s_2 + 1) \sigma.$$

From the last relation there follows the inequality³ $\sigma(0) \geq (k/2\pi)^2 \sigma^2$, limiting the value of the cross section for elastic scattering to 0° . With $S_{\nu} \neq I$ the relations (5) connect $\text{Im } \alpha_{\nu}$ with the integral with respect to $\text{Sp } S_{\nu} M^+ M$, determining the addition

$$(2s_1 + 1)^{-1} (2s_2 + 1)^{-1} \langle S_{\nu} \rangle_{\text{incid}} \text{Sp } S_{\nu} M^+ M$$

to the cross section for scattering of a nonpolarized beam from a nonpolarized target, due to the initial polarization of the colliding particles (in the initial state the mean value of $\langle S_{\nu} \rangle_{\text{incid}}$ of the quantity S_{ν} differs from zero).

The number of relations (5) is equal to the number of coefficients α_{ν} that are not zero for $\mathbf{k}' = \mathbf{k}$. Thus in the case of scattering of mesons from nucleons we obtain only the optical theorem [the coefficient at $(\sigma_{\mathbf{n}})$ in the expansion of the scattering amplitude vanishes when $\mathbf{k}' \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$]. In the case of nucleon-nucleon scattering we obtain three rela-

tions. In this case for S_{ν} one should select operators

$$I, 2^{-1/2} [(\sigma_1 \sigma_2) - (\sigma_1 I)(\sigma_2 I)], (\sigma_1 I)(\sigma_2 I)$$

(it is impossible to construct a greater number of scalar expressions from the vectors σ_1, σ_2 and $I = \mathbf{k}/k$). In view of the invariance of the scattering matrix $M(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}')$ relative to time reversals, the traces containing the operators

$$2^{-1/2} [(\sigma_1 \sigma_2) - (\sigma_1 I)(\sigma_2 I)], (\sigma_1 I)(\sigma_2 I)$$

and determining the additions to the cross section, can be expressed² in terms of the components of the tensor of correlation of the polarization arising incident to collisions of nonpolarized nucleons.

The author desires to thank L. I. Lapidus who read the manuscript and made a number of valuable suggestions.

¹R. Glauber and V. Schomaker, Phys. Rev. **89**, 667 (1953).

²L. Puzikov, R. Ryndin, and Ia. Smorodinskii, J. Exptl. Theoret. Phys. (U.S.S.R.) **32**, 592 (1956); Soviet Physics JETP **5**, 489 (1957).

³G. Wick, Phys. Rev. **75**, 1459 (1949).

Translated by E. J. Rosen
312

Gadolinium Isotope with Mass 146

I. A. GUSEV, O. M. LILOVA, A. N. MURIN,
B. K. PREOBRAZHENSKII, AND
V. A. IAKOVLEV

Radium Institute, Academy of Sciences, U.S.S.R.

(Submitted to JETP editor March 21, 1957)

J. Exptl. Theoret. Phys. (U.S.S.R.) **32**, 1585

(June, 1957)

WHEN TANTALUM is bombarded with 660 Mev protons there are formed new isotopes of gadolinium¹ hitherto unreported in the literature. Upon decay, these isotopes in a number of cases form known isotopes of europium, from which it is possible to determine the mass number of the parent substances — the new gadolinium isotopes. In fractions of europium separated from pure fractions of gadolinium (obtained 32 hours after cessation of bombardment) we observed a radioactive isotope that decays with a period of 1.6 days in accord

with the tabular data for Eu¹⁴⁶. On the basis of measurements of this isotope from the time of its separation from the gadolinium fraction we evaluated the period of the parent substance Gd¹⁴⁶ to be 12 ± 4 hours. It should be noted that the mass number of Gd¹⁴⁶ was determined with the same degree of reliability as that of the daughter europium isotope, which belongs, according to Seaborg's² tables, in class C (mass number "reliable or probable").

¹ Gorodinskii, Pokrovskii, Preobrazhenskii, Murin, and Titov, Dokl. Akad. Nauk, SSSR 112, 405 (1957); Soviet Phys. "Doklady" 2, 39 (1957).

² Seaborg, Perlman, and Hollander, Table of Isotopes, (M., 1956).

Translated by E. J. Rosen
313

Possibility of Constructing a Chain of Equations for Model Operators

V. M. ELEONSKII

Ural' Polytechnic Institute

(Submitted to JETP editor March 21, 1957)

J. Exptl. Theoret. Phys. (U.S.S.R.) 32, 1585-1587 (June, 1957)

THE THEORY OF MODEL TRANSFORMATIONS¹ is characterized by the fact that the model operator M_n transforming the model state

$$|\varphi_1 \dots \varphi_n\rangle = \prod_{\gamma=1}^n \varphi(\gamma)$$

into the real state of the system $|\Psi\rangle = \Psi(1 \dots n)$, is an operator function of all the dynamic variables of the system. To reduce the many-particle problem to a single-particle problem, let us introduce the sequence of generalized transition amplitudes

$$\langle \varphi_1 \dots \varphi_n | \Psi \rangle; \langle \varphi_1 \dots \varphi_{\alpha-1}, \varphi_{\alpha+1} \dots \varphi_n | \Psi \rangle \equiv \langle \dots (\varphi_\alpha) \dots | \Psi \rangle, \dots, \langle \varphi_\alpha \varphi_\beta | \Psi \rangle; \langle \varphi_\alpha | \Psi \rangle; |\Psi\rangle,$$

where, for example,

$$\langle \dots (\varphi_\alpha) \dots | \Psi \rangle = \int \frac{d\tau}{d\tau_\alpha} \prod_{\gamma \neq \alpha}^+ \varphi(\gamma) \Psi(1 \dots n).$$

Assuming that the real and model states of the system are described by the wave equations

$$i\partial_t |\Psi\rangle = \left\{ \sum_\alpha T(\alpha) + \sum_{\alpha\beta} H(\alpha\beta) \right\} |\Psi\rangle, \quad i\partial_t \varphi_\alpha = \{T(\alpha) + U(\alpha)\} \varphi_\alpha,$$

we obtain a system of equations

$$i\partial_t \langle \varphi_1 \dots \varphi_n | \Psi \rangle = \sum_{\alpha\beta} \langle \varphi_\alpha \varphi_\beta | H(\alpha\beta) | \dots (\varphi_\alpha \varphi_\beta) \dots | \Psi \rangle - \sum_\alpha \langle \varphi_\alpha | U(\alpha) | \dots (\varphi_\alpha) \dots | \Psi \rangle,$$

.....

$$\left\{ i\partial_t - \sum_{\alpha \neq \gamma} T(\alpha) - \sum_{\alpha\beta \neq \gamma} H(\alpha\beta) \right\} \langle \varphi_\gamma | \Psi \rangle = \langle \varphi_\gamma | \sum_{\alpha \neq \gamma} H(\alpha\gamma) - U(\gamma) | \Psi \rangle,$$

similar to the system of equations for a density matrix.² In the stationary case the system assumes the form