$$\tau_{\rm cap} = \tau_{\rm dec} \, (Z_{\rm eff}/Z_0)^{-4}. \tag{8}$$

According to Wheeler,

$$Z_{eff} = Z \left[1 + (Z/37.2)^{1.54} \right]^{-1/1.54}, \tag{9}$$

whence it follows in particular that

A1 Fe Zn Ag

$$Z = 13$$
 26 30 47
 $Z_{eff} = 11.58$ 19.4 21.1 26.4

For these values of Z_{eff} the cross section of the effect under consideration does not exceed $\approx 10^{-34}$ cm². The relative role of pair plus mesic-atom production is significant near the threshold for the production of μ pairs. At the threshold, the cross section for the production of a pair of free muons is

$$\sigma \leq (1/_3) r_0^2 \alpha Z \left[(\omega - 2\mu) / \mu \right]^3.$$
 (10)

The linear dependence of (10) on Z results from the destruction of coherence of the nuclear effect because of the transfer of large momenta q $(q \approx 2u \gg 1/R_0)$. As follows from a comparison of (4) and (10), for Z not extremely small, the production of a pair plus a μ -mesic atom can predominate over the production of free muon pairs.

However, the smallness of the cross sections at the threshold makes the experimental investigation of pair production very difficult. It must be emphasized that the present estimates are approximate and give cross sections which are too small. A rigorous theory based on exact wave functions in the field of a finite nucleus will yield results which are more favorable to experiment and will be published hereafter.

In conclusion I wish to express my sincere thanks to Professor A. I. Alikhanian and to G. M. Garibian for very valuable discussions of a number of questions involved in the present note.

2 G. H. Rawitscher, Phys. Rev. 101, 423 (1956).

3 J. A. Wheeler, Rev. Mod. Phys. 21, 133 (1949)

Translated by I. Emin 231

Showers in Lead Produced by 360 ± 30 mev Electrons

V. G. IVANOV, N. I. PETROV, V. A. RUSAKOV IU. A. BUDAGOV, V. T. OSIPENKOV United Institute for Nuclear Research (Submitted to JETP editor February 12, 1956) J. Exptl. Theoret. Phys. (U.S.S.R.) 31, 1095-1096 (December, 1956)

T HE data on electron showers communicated in this note have been obtained in the course of analyzing results of experiments in which the interaction of negative π -mesons with lead nuclei was studied. The experiments were carried out using the synchrocyclotron of the Laboratory of Nuclear Problems with the aid of a Wilson cloud chamber of 400 mm diameter in a magnetic field of intensity 10⁴ oersted.

The beam of π -mesons which passed through a lead plate of thickness 4.6 g. cm⁻² situated inside the cloud chamber contained $(2 \pm 1)\%$ of electrons. Therefore in addition to the events produced by the interaction of π -mesons with nuclei, the photographs also recorded cases of the formation of electron showers in lead. In the course of the analysis of the photographs, 159 showers were recorded which were produced by electrons whose energy lies in the interval from 330 to 390 mev. An example of one such shower is shown in Fig. 1. This number does not include a few cases in which the primary electron was stopped in the lead plate, since it is practically impossible to distinguish them from the large number of cases of stopping of π -mesons. In counting up the number of particles in the showers only secondary electrons of energy $E \leq 8$ mev were taken into account. By means of this criterion of selection of secondary electrons, we excluded errors related to the presence inside the chamber of a background of low energy e lectrons.

The experimentally obtained distribution of showers with respect to the number of particles is given in the Table. For comparison the last column of this Table gives the distribution of showers with respect to the number of electrons according to Poisson's law. The average number of electrons per shower in accordance with the data given in the Table is equal to 1.77.

The energy distribution of the secondary electrons is shown graphically in Fig. 2. The value for the average number of secondary electrons in the shower obtained as a result of our measurements agrees within experimental error both with

^{*} Excluding the case for $h\omega/\mu c^2 \gg 1$, where the main contribution to the cross section for the production of free pairs comes from collisions at distances such that the size of the nucleus can be neglected by comparison.

¹ G. Masek and W.K.H. Panofsky, Phys. Rev. 101, 1094 (1956).



FIG. 1. Electron-positron shower of 6 particles initiated in the lead plate by an electron of momentum 360 mev/c.



FIG. 2. Energy distribution of the secondary electrons N(E).

the corresponding experimental results of reference 2, and with the theoretical value forthis quantity obtained in Ref. 3 by means of a Monte-Carlo calculation of an electron cascade in lead.

Number of electrons per shower	Observed no. of showers with a given number of particles.	Observed no. of particles expressed as % of the total	Number of show- ers with a given no. of particles corresponding to Poisson's law, ex- pressed in %
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	88 34 30 3 1 2 1 0	$\begin{array}{c} 2 - 3^* \\ 53.6 \\ 20.7 \\ 18.3 \\ 1.8 \\ 0.6 \\ 1.2 \\ 0.6 \\ 0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 17\\ 30\\ 26.6\\ 15.7\\ 6.9\\ 2.5\\ 0.7\\ 0.1\\ 0.01 \end{array}$

*These data for the relative number of stoppages of primary electrons are taken from Ref. 2.

1 Dzhelepov, Ivanov, Kozodaev, Osipenkov, Petrov and Rusakov, J. Exptl. Theoret. Phys. (U.S.S.R.) 31, 923 (1956). Soviet Phys. JETP 4, 864 (1957).

2 Ch. A. O' Andlau, Nuovo Cimento12, 859 (1954).

3 R. B. Wilson, Phys. Rev. 86, 261 (1952).

Translated by G. M. Volkoff 232

Generalized Nonsingular Solutions for the Scalar Meson Field of a Point Charge in General Relativity Theory

DUAN'-I-SHI

Moscow State University (Submitted to JETP editor September 15,1956) J. Exptl. Theoret. Phys. (U.S.S.R.) 31, 1098-1099 (December,1956)

T has been shown that in investigating the electromagnetic and meson fields of